NORGAARD, O'BOYLE & HANNON

(A TRADE NAME OF GARY K. NORGAARD, PC) **COUNSELLORS AT LAW**

GARY K. NORGAARD† (ret.) IOHN O'BOYLE KARL J. NORGAARD† BRIAN G. HANNON† CASSANDRA C. NORGAARD†

ANTHONY E. HOPE† MARK E. NORGAARD†

WILLIAM H. SCHMIDT of counsel ILISSA CHURGIN HOOK of counsel † MILICA A. FATOVICH of counsel

184 GRAND AVENUE ENGLEWOOD, NEW JERSEY 07631-3507 Telephone (201) 871-1333 Facsimile (201) 871-3161

> 810 ASBURY AVENUE, SUITE 206 OCEAN CITY, NEW JERSEY 08226 Telephone No. (609) 439-4070 Facsimile (609) 439-4280 By Appointment Only

1025 WESTCHESTER AVENUE, SUITE 215 WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10604 By Appointment Only

Senders Direct Email: mfatovich@norgaardfirm.com

† NJ & NY Bars ♦ NJ, NY & FL Bars

www.norgaardfirm.com

PLEASE REPLY TO ENGLEWOOD OFFICE

August 15, 2024

VIA ECF

Honorable John K. Sherwood United States Bankruptcy Court Martin Luther King, Jr. Federal Building 50 Walnut Street Newark, NJ 07102

> In re Anna Aslanian Re:

> > Ch. 13 Case No. 23-18894 (JKS)

Initial Letter Response of Party-in-Interest Vatche Aslanian a/k/a Kevin Aslanian to Debtor's Motion to Sell Real Property

Hearing: August 22, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.

Dear Judge Sherwood:

This firm represents Vatche Aslanian a/k/a Kevin Aslanian, the Debtor's estranged husband and co-trustee for the Aslanian Family Trust (the "Trust") in the subject Chapter 13 bankruptcy proceeding. Please accept this Letter Response in lieu of a more formal Response by Mr. Aslanian to the Debtor's Motion For Authorization to Sell Debtor's Interest in 413 The Fenway River Edge, New Jersey Under § 363(b) (the "Motion") [Doc. No. 48] seeking an Order to approve a sale of real property located at 413 the Fenway, Borough of River Edge, New Jersey

Case 23-18894-JKS Doc 71 Filed 08/15/24 Entered 08/15/24 16:24:11 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 2

07661 (the "Property") to proposed buyer NJ North Development, LLC (the "Purchaser") for

\$612,000.00. As the co-trustee of the Trust, which is the owner of record of the Property, it is Mr.

Aslanian's position that the Debtor's proposed sale of the Property as set forth in the Motion is

inappropriate and that the Motion, as filed should be denied by the Court. However, the parties,

through their respective counsel, agreed to briefly continue to discuss terms of a settlement which

would allow a sale of the Property to proceed with a revised proposed Order. In the event that a

satisfactory resolution cannot be reached, counsel shall e-file a Supplemental Response to the

Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Norgaard, O'Boyle & Hannon Counsel for Kevin Aslanian

By: /s/ Milica A. Fatovich, Esq. Milica A. Fatovich, Esq.